

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE                        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO.  |  |  |
|--|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 10/008,388   | 12/07/2001                         | Janice A. Kehrli     | G04.008             | 2980              |  |  |
| 67338<br>BUCKLEY M   | 7590 06/07/201<br>IASCHOFF & TALWA | EXAM                 | EXAMINER            |                   |  |  |
| GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY<br>50 LOCUST AVENUE<br>NEW CANAAN, CT 06840 |                                    |                      | SHERR, C            | SHERR, CRISTINA O |  |  |
|  |                                    |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER      |  |  |
| THE CHAIN  | 1,01,000                           | 3685                 |                     |                   |  |  |
|  |                                    |                      |                     |                   |  |  |
|  |                                    |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE     |  |  |
|  |                                    |                      | 06/07/2010          | PAPER             |  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)  |  |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 10/008,388      | KEHRLI ET AL. |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit      |  |
| CRISTINA SHERR  | 3685          |  |

|  | CRISTINA SHERR  | 3685   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 26 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API  | THE REPLY FILED <u>26 April 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Mathematical The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing  | g date of the final rejection.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire  | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Mote: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07   | (f).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data<br>have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ez<br>under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the<br>set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office<br>are particularly and the set of the control of o | dension and the corresponding amount of<br>shortened statutory period for reply origing<br>than three months after the mailing date   | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | Filed - Mile & Commission                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u>  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or  | tter form for appeal by materially rec  |  | ne issues for                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a   |   | ected claims.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1  |   | mnliant Amendment (  | PTOL -324)                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)  |   | inpliant / tinonamont (i                                   | TOE OE+).                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).  | llowable if submitted in a separate, t  | •  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |   | be entered and an e  | xplanation of                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,7,9-18,20 and 23</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2-6,21-22.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>  | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea  | l and/or appellant fail:                                   | s to provide a                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | on of the status of the claims after er   | ntry is below or attach                                    | ed.                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by   | ut does NOT place the application in  | condition for allowan                                      | ce because:                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).  13. Other:   | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| /Calvin L Hewitt II/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3685   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claim 23 is intended to overcome the 101 rejection and overcomes no art rejections..